# Kinetic Analysis of Carrier-Mediated Ion Transport by the Charge-Pulse Technique

## R. Benz and P. Läuger

Department of Biology, University of Konstanz, D-7750 Konstanz, Germany

Received November 3, 1975

Summary. The charge-pulse technique has been used previously for the study of quasistationary processes in membranes which required only a moderate time resolution. It is shown here that a time resolution of about 400 nsec may be achieved with this technique and that it may be applied to the kinetic analysis of carrier-mediated ion transport. By this method we have studied the transport of alkali ions through optically black monoolein membranes in the presence of the ion carrier valinomycin. All three relaxation processes that are predicted by theory have been resolved. From the relaxation times and the relaxation amplitudes the rate constants for the association  $(k_R)$  and the dissociation  $(k_D)$  of the ioncarrier complex, as well as the translocation rate constants of the complex  $(k_{MS})$  and the free carrier  $(k_S)$  could be obtained. For 1 M Rb<sup>+</sup> at 25 °C the values are  $k_R = 3 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_D = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_{MS} = 3 \times 10^5 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $k_S = 4 \times 10^4 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . The activation energies of the single rate constants which have been estimated from experiments at two different temperatures range between 50 and 90 kJ/mol.

The kinetics of carrier-mediated ion transport through lipid bilayer membranes has been studied by electrical relaxation experiments (Stark, Benz, Ketterer & Läuger, 1971; Benz, Stark, Janko & Läuger, 1973; Gambale, Gliozzi & Robello, 1973; Benz & Stark, 1975; Hladky, 1975b; Knoll & Stark, 1975; Laprade, Ciani, Eisenman & Szabo, 1975; Sandblom, Hägglund & Eriksson, 1975). In these studies the voltage-jump (or voltageclamp) method has been used which consists in measuring the time course of the membrane current after a sudden displacement of the voltage. The time resolution of the voltage-jump technique is often limited by an RC time constant which is given by the product of the membrane capacitance times the resistance of the external circuit. (If the current is controlled by a feedback circuit, the time resolution is usually limited by the bandwidth of the feedback system.) An alternative method with a potentially better time resolution is the so-called charge pulse technique. The membrane capacitance is charged to an initial voltage by a brief current pulse of 10-100 nsec duration; at the end of the pulse the external charging circuit is switched to virtually infinite resistance. The membrane voltage  $V_m$  then

decays by conduction processes across the membrane as well as by redistribution of charges within the membrane. From a measurement of  $V_{\rm m}$  as a function of time, information on the mechanism of ion transport in the membrane may be obtained. This method, which has occasionally been used in neurophysiology (e.g., Hodgkin, Huxley & Katz, 1952), has been worked out in considerable detail in the course of studies of fast electrode reactions (Delahay, 1962; Reinmuth & Wilson, 1962; Weir & Enke, 1967; Kudirka, Daum & Enke, 1972; see Weir & Enke for a more complete survey of the literature). Recently, the charge-pulse method has been applied to the study of electrical properties of lipid bilayer membranes in the presence of hydrophobic ions (Borisova, Ermishkin, Liberman, Silberstein & Trofimov, 1974; Gavach & Sandeaux, 1975) and of ion carriers (Feldberg & Kissel, 1975). In these studies the slow phase of the voltage decay has been recorded, i.e., that part of the voltage relaxation which is mainly determined by the steady-state conductance of the membrane. In the following, we show that with increased time resolution of the measuring system also the early phases of the voltage relaxation may be analyzed which are governed by fast concentration changes of the ion-carrier complex in the membrane-solution interfaces. Under favorable conditions the rate constants of the single transport steps of the carrier system may be obtained from such an analysis.

## Theory

In the following we base the analysis of the charge-pulse experiment on a model of carrier-mediated ion transport that has already been used for the treatment of steady-state and voltage-jump relaxation data (Läuger & Stark, 1970; Stark *et al.*, 1971). Specifically, this model assumes that complex formation between an ion  $M^+$  and a carrier molecule S takes place in the membrane-solution interface and may be described by overall rate constants  $k_R$  (association) and  $k_D$  (dissociation). The translocation of the complex  $MS^+$  from one interface to the other is treated, to a first approximation, as a simple first-order reaction with a rate constant  $k_{MS}$ . Similarly, the translocation of the free carrier S is described by a rate constant  $k_S$ . Of all the rate constants only  $k_{MS}$  is assumed to be voltage dependent. This is a rather crude approximation since both S and  $MS^+$ are probably located some distance away from the membrane-solution interface toward the interior of the membrane and experience part of the voltage drop across the membrane (Stark & Benz, 1971; Eisenman, Krasne & Ciani, 1975; Hladky, 1975 *a*). The resulting voltage dependence of  $k_R$  and  $k_D$  is neglected here but may later be introduced into the model when more experimental data will become available. The dependence of  $k_{MS}$  on the externally applied voltage  $V_m$  is calculated on the basis of a single barrier of the Eyring type (Läuger & Stark, 1970):

$$k'_{MS} = k_{MS} e^{\mu/2} \tag{1}$$

$$k_{MS}'' = k_{MS} e^{-u/2} \tag{2}$$

$$u = \frac{V_m}{RT/F} = \frac{\psi' - \psi''}{RT/F}.$$
 (3)

 $k'_{MS}$  and  $k''_{MS}$  are the rate constants of translocation from the left to the right and from the right to the left interface, respectively;  $\psi'$  and  $\psi''$  are the electrical potentials in the left-hand and right-hand aqueous solution; R is the gas constant, T the absolute temperature and F is Faraday's constant. Again, Eqs. (1) and (2) have to be considered as approximations which may be replaced in a more refined analysis by expressions taking into account the shape of the energy barrier (Hall, Mead & Szabo, 1973) as well as the fact that only part of the voltage drops across the central barrier.

We assume that the membrane separates identical solutions of the transported ion  $M^+$  (concentration  $c_M$ ) and that the system is at equilibrium at times  $t \leq 0$ . At time t=0 the membrane capacitance is charged up virtually instantaneously to a voltage  $V_m^0$ . Denoting the concentrations of S and  $MS^+$  in the left interface by  $N'_S$  and  $N'_{MS}$  and the concentrations in the right interface by  $N''_S$  and  $N''_{MS}$  (expressed in moles/cm<sup>2</sup>) then the rate of change of these quantities after the charge pulse is given by

$$\frac{dN'_{S}}{dt} = -k_{R}c_{M}N'_{S} + k_{D}N'_{MS} - k_{S}(N'_{S} - N''_{S})$$
(4)

$$\frac{dN_{S}^{\prime\prime}}{dt} = -k_{R}c_{M}N_{S}^{\prime\prime} + k_{D}N_{MS}^{\prime\prime} - k_{S}(N_{S}^{\prime\prime} - N_{S}^{\prime})$$
(5)

$$\frac{dN'_{MS}}{dt} = k_R c_M N'_S - k_D N'_{MS} - k'_{MS} N'_{MS} + k''_{MS} N''_{MS} \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{dN_{MS}^{\prime\prime}}{dt} = k_R c_M N_S^{\prime\prime} - k_D N_{MS}^{\prime\prime} - k_{MS}^{\prime\prime} N_{MS}^{\prime\prime} + k_{MS}^{\prime} N_{MS}^{\prime\prime}.$$
(7)

Implicit in these equations is the assumption that the exchange of S and  $MS^+$  between interface and water is slow with respect to the time

scale of the relaxation experiment (Stark & Benz, 1971). It is seen that the sum of the right-hand sides of Eqs. (4) and (5) is zero; this means that the total concentration  $N_0$  of the carrier per unit area is a constant:

$$N_0 = N'_S + N''_S + N'_{MS} + N''_{MS}.$$
(8)

The rate of decay of the voltage  $V_m$  after the initial charge pulse is determined by the specific membrane capacitance  $C_m$  and by the current density J in the membrane:

$$\frac{dV_m}{dt} = -\frac{J}{C_m} = -\frac{F}{C_m} (k'_{MS} N'_{MS} - k''_{MS} N''_{MS}).$$
(9)

According to Eqs. (1) and (2) the translocation rate constants  $k'_{MS}$  and  $k''_{MS}$  depend on voltage and therefore are functions of time. In the following we restrict the analysis to small voltages ( $|u| \ll 1$  or  $|V_m| \ll 25$  mV) where the approximations

$$k'_{MS} \approx k_{MS} \left( 1 + \frac{u}{2} \right) \tag{10}$$

$$k_{MS}^{\prime\prime} \approx k_{MS} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{2} \right) \tag{11}$$

hold. This is not a serious restriction, as the sensitivity of the method is usually sufficient to obtain all the information required for the evaluation of the rate constants from an experiment with  $V_m^0$  of the order of a few millivolts.

Eqs. (4)-(7) together with Eq. (9) represent a system of five linear differential equations for the five unknown functions:  $N'_{S}(t)$ ,  $N''_{S}(t)$ ,  $N''_{MS}(t)$ ,  $N''_{MS}(t)$ , and  $V_{m}(t)$ . The solution which may be obtained by standard methods (compare Appendix A) yields  $V_{m}(t)$  in the form

$$V_m(t) = V_m^0(a_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + a_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} + a_3 e^{-\lambda_3 t})$$
(12)

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1. \tag{13}$$

In principle, the relaxation times  $\tau_i = 1/\lambda_i$  and the relaxation amplitudes  $a_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) may be expressed as functions of the rate constants and of  $N_0$ , but the resulting equations are rather cumbersome. We therefore use an alternative method here which requires that all three relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and amplitudes  $a_i$  are measurable. Defining the quantities

$$P_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \tag{14}$$

$$P_2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \tag{15}$$

Charge-Pulse Method and Carrier Kinetics

$$P_3 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \tag{16}$$

175

$$P_4 = a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 + a_3 \lambda_3 \tag{17}$$

$$P_5 = a_1 \lambda_1^2 + a_2 \lambda_2^2 + a_3 \lambda_3^2 \tag{18}$$

it may be shown by straightforward analysis (see Appendix A) that

$$k_{MS} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{P_5}{P_4} - P_4 \right) \tag{19}$$

$$k_{D} = \frac{1}{2k_{MS}} \left[ \frac{P_{1}P_{5}}{P_{4}} - P_{2} + \frac{P_{3}}{P_{4}} - \left(\frac{P_{5}}{P_{4}}\right)^{2} \right]$$
(20)

$$k_{S} = \frac{1}{2k_{D}} \frac{P_{3}}{P_{4}}$$
(21)

$$k_{R} = \frac{1}{c_{M}} (P_{1} - P_{4} - 2k_{S} - 2k_{MS} - k_{D})$$
(22)

$$N_{0} = \frac{2RTC_{m}}{F^{2}} \frac{P_{4}}{k_{MS}} \left( 1 + \frac{k_{D}}{c_{M}k_{R}} \right).$$
(23)

In this way the four rate constants  $k_R$ ,  $k_D$ ,  $k_S$ ,  $k_{MS}$ , as well as the carrier concentration  $N_0$  may be calculated from the five experimental quantities  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ ,  $P_4$  and  $P_5$ . The consistency of the analysis may be controlled by measuring the  $\tau_i$  and the  $a_i$  at different ion concentrations  $c_M$  and different aqueous carrier concentrations  $c_0$  and checking whether the calculated values of the rate constants are independent of  $c_M$  and  $c_0$ .

## The Case $c_M k_R, k_D \gg k_S, k_{MS}$

A useful specialization of the above model concerns the case that the complexation reaction is always at equilibrium, i.e., that  $c_M k_R$  and  $k_D$  are much larger than  $k_S$  and  $k_{MS}$ . Under these circumstances the explicit expressions for the  $\tau_i$  and  $a_i$  become relatively simple. Since a discussion of this special case gives valuable insight into the mechanism of the relaxation process, we quote in the following the results of the calculation. If  $c_M k_R$  and  $k_D$  are much larger than the other rate constants, then any perturbation of the system leads to a fast relaxation of the interfacial reaction, followed by slower relaxation processes that are governed by jumps across the central barrier. The shortest relaxation time is therefore given by  $\tau_1 = 1/(c_M k_R + k_D)$ . The amplitude  $a_1$  [Eq. (12)] of the fast process, however, is zero, because a voltage change does not perturb the interfacial

equilibrium as long as  $c_M k_R$  and  $k_D$  are voltage independent. The time constants  $\tau_2$ ,  $\tau_3$  and the amplitudes  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  of the two slow relaxation processes may then be calculated from Eqs. (4)–(7), as shown in Appendix B. For the following we introduce the abbreviations

$$p = \frac{c_M k_R}{k_D}; \qquad b = \frac{F^2}{4RTC_m}.$$

p is the concentration ratio of complexed and uncomplexed carrier in the membrane. 1/b is the surface density of elementary charges that is needed to charge the membrane capacitance  $C_m$  to a voltage of 4RT/F  $(1/b \simeq 4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ mol cm}^{-2} \simeq 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ .

The result then reads

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\tau_1} = c_M k_R + k_D \tag{24}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\tau_2} = \frac{k_s + p k_{MS} (1 + b N_0) + |k_s + p k_{MS} (1 - b N_0)| \sqrt{1 + 9}}{1 + p}$$
(25)

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{\tau_3} = \frac{k_s + p k_{MS} (1 + b N_0) - |k_s + p K_{MS} (1 - b N_0)| \sqrt{1 + 9}}{1 + p}$$
(26)

$$a_{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+9}} \right) & \left( bN_{0} < 1 + \frac{k_{S}}{pk_{MS}} \right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+9}} \right) & \left( bN_{0} > 1 + \frac{k_{S}}{pk_{MS}} \right) \end{cases}$$
(27)

$$a_3 = 1 - a_2$$
 (28)

$$\vartheta = 4bN_0 \left[ \frac{pk_{MS}}{k_S + pk_{MS}(1 - bN_0)} \right]^2.$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

It is seen from Eqs. (27)-(29) that  $a_2$  and  $a_3$  are always positive (or zero); this means that V(t) monotonically decreases with time after the charge pulse. (It should be noted, however, that the concentrations  $N'_S$ ,  $N''_{MS}$ ,  $N''_{MS}$ ,  $N''_{MS}$  are nonmonotonous functions of time.)

For a discussion of Eqs. (25) and (26) it is useful to introduce the steady-state time-constant  $\tau_m$  of the membrane, which is defined as the product of the specific ohmic membrane resistance  $R_m^0$  in the steady state, times the specific membrane capacitance  $C_m$ . Introducing  $R_m^0$  from Stark *et al.* (1971),  $\tau_m$  is found to be (in the limit  $c_M k_R, k_D \gg k_S, k_{MS}$ ):

$$\tau_m = R_m^0 C_m = \frac{p+1}{2bN_0} \left( \frac{1}{pk_{MS}} + \frac{1}{k_S} \right).$$
(30)

Depending on the amount of carrier present in the membrane, the value of the dimensionless quantity  $bN_0$  varies within wide limits. To give a specific example: for a glyceryl-monooleate membrane in an aqueous solution of  $10^{-7}$  M trinactin and 1 M KCl, a value of  $bN_0 \simeq 3$  is calculated from the data of Benz and Stark (1975); but considerably smaller and larger values are also possible. It is therefore interesting to discuss the voltage relaxation in the limit of small and of large  $bN_0$ .

At small carrier concentrations  $(bN_0 \ll 1)$  Eqs. (25)-(28) reduce to

$$\tau_2 \approx \frac{p+1}{2(k_s + p k_{MS})} \tag{31}$$

$$\tau_3 \approx \tau_m \gg \tau_2 \tag{32}$$

$$a_2 \approx \frac{9}{4} \approx 0, \quad a_3 \approx 1 - \frac{9}{4} \approx 1.$$
 (33)

In this case one amplitude  $(a_2)$  vanishes so that only one relaxation process is observed which corresponds to the steady-state decay of a voltage across a parallel combination of  $C_m$  and  $R_m^0$ . This means that at low carrier concentration the information obtained from a charge-pulse experiment is already contained in a steady-state conductance measurement.

Feldberg and Kissel (1975) used the charge-pulse method to study the steady-state conductance of bilayer membranes in the presence of ion carriers by measuring the slowest relaxation process (corresponding to  $\tau_3$ ). For the analysis of their data they assumed that the membrane is in a true quasistationary state during the slow phase of the voltage decay. This seems justified in the limit  $bN_0 \ll 1$ ; in the general case, however, even the slowest relaxation process is influenced by a redistribution of  $MS^+$  between the two interfaces. Indeed, under the assumptions of our calculations (small voltage, Eyring-barrier, fast complexation reaction) Eqs. (11) and (12) of Feldberg and Kissel (1975) reduce to  $V_m/dt = V_m/\tau_m$  which corresponds to the case  $bN_0 \ll 1$  [Eqs. (30)-(33)], whereas for arbitrary  $bN_0$ ,  $\tau_3$  is different from  $\tau_m$  [Eq. (26)]. In the analysis of Feldberg and Kissel the redistribution of  $MS^+$  may be allowed for by a suitable correction (S. W. Feldberg, *personal communication*).

If the carrier concentration is large  $(bN_0 \ge 1, bN_0 \ge k_S/pk_{MS})$  Eqs. (25)–(28) assume the form

$$\tau_2 \approx \frac{p+1}{2pk_{MS}bN_0} \tag{34}$$

$$\tau_3 \approx \frac{p+1}{2k_S} \gg \tau_2 \tag{35}$$

$$a_2 \approx 1 - \frac{1}{bN_0} \approx 1, \quad a_3 \approx \frac{1}{bN_0} \approx 0.$$
 (36)

In this case the amplitude of the slowest relaxation process  $(a_3)$  vanishes.

#### Materials and Methods

Monoolein was obtained from Nu Check Prep (Elysian, Minnesota). The sample contained about 98% of the  $\alpha$ -isomer and gave a single spot in a thin-layer chromatogram. *n*-decane was from Merck (standard for gas chromatography). Valinomycin was obtained from Calibiochem (San Diego, California) and was dissolved in ethanol. Identical amounts of the concentrated ethanolic stock solution were added to the aqueous compartments of the membrane cell to get a final concentration between  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  M. The ethanol concentration in the aqueous phases never exceeded 0.1% (v/v). The pH of the unbuffered aqueous solutions was about 6; the ionic strength was held constant by addition of LiCl as an inert electrolyte.

Optically black lipid membranes were formed in the usual way (Läuger, Lesslauer, Marti & Richter, 1967) from a 1-2% (w/v) solution of monoolein in *n*-decane. The circular membranes had a diameter of either 2 or 3 mm.

The charge-pulse experiment was performed in the following way. The membrane was charged up to a voltage of about 10 mV (or less) by a brief current pulse. For this purpose a variable voltage source (output voltage 10 mV - 5 V) was connected to the membrane cell during 20-50 nsec by means of a fast FET-switch (2N5653, Pan Elektronik, Taufkirchen, G.F.R.). The impedance of the switch in the "open" position was larger than  $10^{12} \Omega$ . The switch was triggered repetitively by a separate, battery-operated impulse generator with waiting periods between the pulses of at least 20 times the longest relaxation time of the membrane. The voltage transient across the membrane was amplified with an Analog Devices Mod. 46 K preamplifier (bandwith 10 MHz) and recorded with a storage oscilloscope (Tectronix 7633 with amplifier 7A 13). The original photographs of the oscillographic records were subsequently enlarged to  $15 \times 20$  cm in order to match the resolution of the digitizer (Hewlett Packard 9864 A). The digitized data from the voltage versus time curves were further analyzed (see below) with a Hewlett Packard 9820 A calculator (with plotter 9862 A).

The current was applied to the membrane through a pair of platinized platinum electrodes of large area (about  $1 \text{ cm}^2$  each electrode). Pairs of electrodes were selected such that the asymmetry voltage at zero current was less than 0.5 mV. In some experiments the voltage was measured with a separate pair of electrodes.

The performance of the whole set-up was carefully tested with dummy circuits. In one set of experiments the cell was replaced by a resistor (representing the resistance of the solutions and the electrodes) in series with a parallel combination of a resistor and a capacitor of the appropriate values (the "membrane"). In a second set of test experiments the arrangement of cell, membranes and electrodes was the same as used later in the valinomycin experiments, but the membrane was formed in a 1 m KCl solution without carrier and the carrier-induced conductance was simulated by introducing a resistor in parallel to the membrane. In all these cases a purely exponential decay of the voltage with the expected time constant was observed after the charging pulse; examples are given in Figs. 1 and 2.

178



Fig. 1. Oscillographic record of a test experiment with a monoolein/n-decane membrane in 1 m KCl (solution resistance 30  $\Omega$ ). The membrane capacitance was  $C_M \simeq 10 \text{ nF}$  (membrane area  $A \simeq 2.6 \text{ mm}^2$ ), the membrane resistance was of the order of  $10^8 \Omega$ ; an external resistance  $R_e = 100 \Omega$  was introduced parallel to  $C_M$ . At time t=0 a charging pulse of about 50 nsec duration was applied to the membrane. For times t > 400 nsec the decay of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  is purely exponential with a time constant of 0.99 µsec which agrees with the calculated time constant  $\tau = R_e C_M = 1.0 \mu$ sec. The distortion of the signal at t < 400 nsec is due to oscillations of the FET switch in the charging circuit

In this way it has been established that the electrodes themselves do not introduce voltage transients in the time range considered here and that the distortion of the signal by the amplifier system is negligible. The time resolution of the set-up was limited by oscillations of the FET switch at the termination of the charging pulse and was about 400 nsec.

As discussed in the previous section, the decay of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  is governed by three relaxation times  $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3$ :

$$V_m(t) = V_1 \exp(-t/\tau_1) + V_2 \exp(-t/\tau_2) + V_3 \exp(-t/\tau_3).$$
(37)

The relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and the voltage amplitudes  $V_i$  were evaluated from the record of  $V_m(t)$  in the following way. For long times  $(t \ge \tau_2)$  the relation  $V_m(t) \ge V_3 \exp(-t/\tau_3)$  holds; accordingly, a plot of  $\ln[V_m(t)]$  at long times t gives a straight line from which  $\tau_3$  and  $V_3$ are obtained. If then the logarithm of the difference  $V_m(t) - V_3 \exp(-t/\tau_3)$  is plotted as function of t, again a straight line results at sufficiently long times which gives  $\tau_2$  and  $V_2$ . Repetition of this procedure with  $V_m(t) - [V_2 \exp(-t/\tau_2) + V_3 \exp(-t/\tau_3)]$  finally yields  $\tau_1$ and  $V_1$ . In each case the regression line is calculated using a least-squares fit program. An example is given in Fig. 4. The accuracy of this method is highest if the relaxation times  $\tau_i$ are widely separated and if the voltage amplitudes  $V_i$  are of comparable magnitudes.



Fig. 2. Computer plot of the logarithm of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  as a function of time t. The conditions of the experiment were the same as in Fig. 1 except that an external resistance of  $R_e = 5 \text{ k}\Omega$  was used. The regression line corresponds to a time constant of 52.9 µsec (correlation coefficient 0.99991)

#### Results

Oscillographic records of a charge-pulse experiment in the presence of valinomycin and Rb<sup>+</sup> are represented in Fig. 3. As the decay of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  extends over a wide time range,  $V_m$  was recorded with different sweep times. The values of  $V_m(t)$  from the different records were plotted on a logarithmic scale and were analyzed as described in the previous section. This is shown in Fig. 4 for the data of Fig. 3. From three successive plots (A, B, and C) the three relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and the voltage amplitudes  $V_i$  [Eq. (37)] were evaluated by calculating the regression line that gave the best fit to the plotted points in the limit of long times.

In this way the values of the parameters  $\tau_i = 1/\lambda_i$  and  $a_i = V_i/V_m^0$  were determined for each set of experimental conditions (Table 1). By inserting the  $\lambda_i$  and  $a_i$  into Eqs. (14)–(23), the rate constants  $k_R$ ,  $k_D$ ,  $k_{MS}$ ,  $k_S$  and the total carrier concentration  $N_0$  in the membrane could be calculated. The results are summarized in Table 2 which also contains the distribution coefficient  $\gamma_S$  of the uncomplexed carrier S:

$$\gamma_s = \frac{2N_s}{c_s d}.$$
(38)



Fig. 3. Decay of the membrane voltage  $V_m$  after a charge pulse. Monoolein/n-decane membrane in  $2 \times 10^{-7}$  M valinomycin and 1 M RbCl; T=25 °C; membrane area A=2 mm<sup>2</sup>. At time t=0 the membrane capacitance was charged up by a current pulse of about 50 nsec duration to a voltage of  $V_m^0 = 10.2$  mV. A repetitive pulse sequence was used with waiting times of 1 msec between pulses. The decay of  $V_m$  was recorded with different sweep times, as indicated on the right side of the oscillogram. The base lines of the records at 5 µsec/div, 1 µsec/div and 0.2 µsec/div were shifted by arbitrary amounts. The base line for 5 µsec/div is at 0.32 mV, for 1 µsec/div at -0.05 mV and for 0.2 µsec/div at -1.35 mV

 $N_s$  is the interfacial concentration of S at equilibrium  $(N'_s = N''_s = N_s)$ :

$$N_{\rm S} = \frac{N_0}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{c_M k_R}{k_D} \right). \tag{39}$$

 $d \simeq 5$  nm is the membrane thickness and  $c_s$  the concentration of S in the aqueous phase. At 25 °C, where complexation between valinomycin and alkali ions in water is negligible up to  $c_M = 1$  M (Stark and Benz, 1971),  $c_s$  could be set equal to the total carrier concentration  $c_0$  in water. Only in the experiments with 1 M rubidium chloride at 10 °C the complexation in water had to be taken into account; from the data of Knoll and Stark (1975) the complexation constant was estimated to be  $\sim 1.5 \text{ m}^{-1}$ .

It is seen from Table 1 that the three relaxation amplitudes  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$ and also the longest relaxation time  $(\tau_3)$  strongly depend on the concentrations  $c_0$  and  $c_M$ . Despite these large variations in the relaxation parameters, the values of the rate constants  $k_D$ ,  $k_{MS}$ , and  $k_S$  which are calculated from Eqs. (19)-(23) are fairly constant over the whole range of experimental conditions (the agreement at  $c_0 = 5$  nM is likely to be



Fig. 4. Analysis of the data taken from Fig. 3. As described in Materials and Methods, the relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and the voltage amplitudes  $V_i$  [Eq. (37)] were evaluated from the three successive plots A, B, and C. In each case the regression line which was drawn gave the best fit to the plotted points in the limit of long times t. The correlation coefficients were calculated to be r = 0.9995 (plot A, t > 15 µsec), r = 0.9969 (plot B, t > 3 µsec), r = 0.9997 (plot C, t > 0.5 µsec)



Ei~	10
rig.	4U

Table 1. Relaxation times  $\tau_i$  and relative relaxation amplitudes  $a_i$  from charge-pulse experiments with monolein/n-decane membranes at different ion concentrations  $c_M$  and different valinomycin concentrations  $c_0$  in the aqueous phase<sup>a</sup>

с <sub>0</sub> ( <i>n</i> м)	с <sub>м</sub> (М)	τ <sub>1</sub> (μsec)	$\tau_2$ (µsec)	τ <sub>3</sub> (μsec)	<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>3</sub>
			KC	С1, 25 °С			
100	1.0	0.865	2.59	51.9	0.295	0.300	0.405
			Rb	Cl, 25 ℃			
5	1.0	0.868	3.14	675	0.013	0.042	0.950
10	1.0	0.854	3.16	299	0.035	0.069	0.896
50	1.0	0.823	2.75	83.0	0.109	0.219	0.672
100	1.0	0.696	2.04	63.1	0.357	0.236	0.407
200	1.0	0.599	2.01	44.4	0.518	0.202	0.281
100	0.30	0.939	3.10	47.6	0.293	0.267	0.440
100	0.10	0.711	3.29	36.2	0.182	0.307	0.510
100	0.03	0.878	4.26	30.2	0.093	0.190	0.718
100	0.01	0.989	4.70	30.5	0.102	0.097	0.801
			RbG	Cl, 10 ℃			
100	1.0	3.35	7.52	414	0.374	0.195	0.431
			CsC	Cl, 25 °C			
100	1.0	0.821	3.66	33.1	0.102	0.407	0.491

<sup>a</sup> The ionic strength has been held constant at 1 M by addition of LiCl. The values of  $\tau_i$  and  $a_i$  are mean values that have been determined from five to nine membranes for each set of experimental conditions.

с <sub>о</sub> ( <i>п</i> м)	с <sub>м</sub> (м)	$k_R$ (10 <sup>4</sup> M <sup>-1</sup> sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_D (10^4  \mathrm{sec}^{-1})$	$k_{MS} (10^4  { m sec}^{-1})$	$k_{S} (10^{4}  \mathrm{sec}^{-1})$	N <sub>0</sub> (10 <sup>-</sup>	<sup>14</sup> mol cm <sup><math>-2</math></sup> )	$\gamma_s$ (10 <sup>3</sup> )	n
				KCl, 25 °C					
100	1.0	29 <u>+</u> 4	$27\pm 6$	$21 \pm 4$	$3.8\pm0.8$	78	$\pm 40$	7.5	9
				RbCl, 25 °C					
5	1.0	42 + 20	25 + 19	32+15	2.8 + 1.6	3.1	2 + 2.5	4.8	5
10	1.0	$\frac{12}{29} + 7$	$25 \pm 14$	$35 \pm 15$	$3.9 \pm 1.6$	5	+3	4.6	6
50	1.0	30 + 6	23 + 14	27 + 5	$4.4 \pm 0.8$	30	+15	5.2	6
100	1.0	$37 \pm 12$	24 + 6	27 + 3	$3.5 \pm 0.4$	68	+30	5.3	7
200	1.0	32 + 3	23 + 4	29 + 10	$4.6 \pm 0.9$	130	+40	5.4	9
100	0.30	66 + 17	21 + 10	25 + 7	4.0 + 0.6	80	+26	8.2	8
100	0.10	$190 \pm 40$	38 + 15	35 + 13	4.5 + 1.0	61	+20	8.1	8
100	0.03	$430 \pm 120$	43 + 14	30 + 8	5.6 + 2.0	65	+30	10.0	6
100	0.01	$630\pm300$	$31\pm 3$	$29\pm5$	$7.8 \pm 0.5$	52	$\pm 25$	8.6	7
				CsCl, 25 °C					
100	1.0	$22\pm$ 4	$56\pm10$	$25\pm8$	$4.1 \pm 0.5$	72	$\pm 30$	10.3	8
				RbCl, 10 °C					
100	1.0	$11 \pm 2$	$3.7\pm2$	$6.3\pm0.7$	$0.98\pm0.3$	65	$\pm 20$	8.2	9
			RbCl, 10 °	C (Knoll & S	tark, 1975)				
ь	1.0	8.1	1.7	5.5	1.0	-		7.9	

Table 2. Rate constants  $k_R$ ,  $k_D$ ,  $k_{MS}$ ,  $k_S$  of valinomycin-mediated cation transport through monoolein/*n*-decane membranes as calculated from the data of Table 1<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The partition coefficient  $\gamma_s$  of the free carrier has been determined from  $N_0$  according to Eqs. (38) and (39). For the membrane capacity  $C_m$  [Eq. (23)] a value of  $0.390 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$  has been used (Benz, Fröhlich, Läuger & Montal, 1975). In addition to the standard deviations, the number *n* of membranes used for each set of experimental conditions is indicated. <sup>b</sup> Valinomycin added to the membrane-forming solution (5 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M).

fortuitous, because the small value of  $a_1$  leads to relatively large errors). This finding is consistent with the carrier model used for the analysis if it is assumed that the single carrier molecules act independently of each other. A somewhat larger variation (by about a factor of two) is observed in the case of the partition coefficient  $\gamma_s$ . This variation presumably originates from the difficulty to establish partition equilibrium between the membrane and the aqueous phase. The association rate constant  $k_R$  is also found to be independent of the carrier concentration  $c_0$ , but it shows a very pronounced variation with ion concentration  $c_M$ . A decrease of  $k_R$  with increasing  $c_M$  has already been observed by Knoll and Stark (1975) for valinomycin-mediated rubidium transport in monoolein mem-

$E(k_R) = E(k_D)$ (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )		$\frac{E(k_{MS})}{(\text{kJ mol}^{-1})}$	$\frac{E(k_s)}{(\text{kJ mol}^{-1})}$
57	87	68	60

Table 3. Activation energies E of the rate constants  $k_R$ ,  $k_D$ ,  $k_{MS}$  and  $k_S$  (100 nm valinomycin, 1 m Rb<sup>+</sup>)

branes; as a possible explanation they proposed that a finite number of sites for the complexation reaction exists which become saturated at high  $c_M$ .

Knoll and Stark (1975) determined the rate constants of the valinomycin/Rb<sup>+</sup> system at 10 °C using the voltage-jump method. For the purpose of comparison, we have also carried out a few experiments at 10 °C with the charge-pulse technique. The values of the rate constants, as obtained by the two different methods, are in satisfactory agreement, as Table 2 shows.

From the two sets of measurements at 10 and 25 °C the activation energies E of the rate constants may be estimated (Table 3). In view of the uncertainties in the determination of  $\gamma_s$ , the difference in the  $\gamma_s$  values between 10 and 25 °C should be regarded as insignificant. It may be concluded that the enthalpy change  $\Delta H$  associated with the transfer of valinomycin from water to the monoolein membrane is likely to be small  $(|\Delta H| \leq 20 \text{ kJ/mol})$ ; a larger value ( $\Delta H = -100 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ) has been observed with dipolmitoleoyllecithin membranes (Benz *et al.*, 1973).

## Discussion

In this study we have tested the possibility to apply the charge-pulse method to the analysis of fast transport processes in lipid bilayer membranes. The charge-pulse technique has been used previously for the investigation of quasistationary processes in membranes which required only a moderate time resolution. We have demonstrated here that using relatively simple instrumentation a time resolution of about 400 nsec may be achieved and that the charge-pulse technique may be applied to the kinetic analysis of carrier-mediated ion transport in thin lipid membranes.

The method which we have used for the analysis of the experimental data requires that all three relaxation processes predicted from the model can be resolved. This presents difficulties in cases where one or two of the relaxation amplitudes  $a_i$  become too small or where the relaxation times



Fig. 5. Relaxation times  $\tau_i(A)$  and relaxation amplitudes  $a_i(B)$  as a function of the total carrier concentration  $N_0$  in the membrane. The  $\tau_i$  and  $a_i$  have been calculated from Eqs. (A 8)–(A 11), (A 16) and (A 19) for a given set of rate constants  $(c_M k_R = k_D = k_{MS} = k_S = 10^5 \text{ sec}^{-1})$ . For the membrane capacity a value of  $C_m = 0.40 \,\mu\text{F/cm}^2$  has been used.  $T = 298 \,\,^{\circ}\text{K}$ 

 $\tau_i$  are not sufficiently different. Since the  $\tau_i$  and the  $a_i$  depend on the experimental conditions, this problem may usually be overcome by a suitable choice of the carrier concentration in the membrane. To illustrate this point we have plotted in Fig. 5 the relaxation times and amplitudes as functions of the total carrier concentration  $N_0$  in the membrane for an arbitrarily chosen set of rate constants. It is seen that the longest relaxation time  $\tau_3$  and the three amplitudes  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  strongly depend on  $N_0$  (at small  $N_0$ ,  $\tau_3$  is proportional to the membrane resistance and therefore inversely proportional to  $N_0$ ). For a reasonable accuracy of the analysis, the  $a_i$  values should be larger than 0.02, provided that the  $\tau_i$  differ by at least a factor of three.

The authors wish to thank A. Fahr for writing the computer program for the analysis of the data and Dr. G. Stark and W. Knoll for interesting discussions. This work has been financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Sonderforschungsbereich 138).

#### Appendix A

Derivation of Eqs. (19)–(23)

Introducing the variables:

$$r = N'_S + N''_S, \quad s = N'_{MS} + N''_{MS}$$

we obtain from Eqs. (4)-(8):

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = -(c_M k_R + k_D)r + N_0 k_D \tag{A1}$$

or (with  $1/\tau = c_M k_R + k_D$ ):

$$r(t) = r(\infty) + [r(0) - r(\infty)] e^{-t/\tau}.$$
 (A2)

For the stationary state (dr/dt = 0) Eq. (A1) yields

$$r = N_0 \frac{k_D}{c_M k_R + k_D}.$$
 (A3)

In the charge-pulse experiment  $r(\infty)$  is identical with r(0). According to Eq. (A 2), this means that r is a constant and is given by Eq. (A 3). In a similar way the relation

$$s(t) \equiv s = N_0 \frac{c_M k_R}{c_M k_R + k_D} \tag{A4}$$

is obtained. With

$$y_1 = N'_S - N''_S, \quad y_2 = N'_{MS} - N''_{MS}, \quad y_3 = u,$$

Eqs. (4)-(11) yield the following system of differential equations:

$$\frac{dy_i}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{3} A_{ij} y_j \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$
(A5)

where

$$A_{11} = -(c_M k_R + 2k_S); \quad A_{12} = k_D; \qquad A_{13} = 0$$
  

$$A_{21} = c_M k_R; \qquad A_{22} = -(k_D + 2k_{MS}); \qquad A_{23} = -sk_{MS}$$
  

$$A_{31} = 0; \qquad A_{32} = -4b k_{MS}; \qquad A_{33} = -2b s k_{MS}$$
  

$$b = \frac{F^2}{4RTC_m}.$$

The solution of Eqs. (A5) which fulfills the boundary condition  $y_i(\infty) = 0$  has the form

$$y_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_{ij} e^{-\lambda_j t} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$
(A6)

where the  $\lambda_j$  are the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\operatorname{Det}\left(A_{ij}+\delta_{ij}\lambda\right)=0.\tag{A7}$$

 $\delta_{ij}$  is Kronecker's delta ( $\delta_{ij}=0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\delta_{ij}=1$  for i=j). Eq. (A7) may be written as

$$\lambda^3 - P_1 \,\lambda^2 + P_2 \,\lambda - P_3 = 0 \tag{A8}$$

$$P_1 = c_M k_R + k_D + 2k_S + 2k_{MS} + 2bs k_{MS}$$
(A9)

$$P_2 = 2k_{MS}(c_M k_R + 2k_S)(bs+1) + 2k_D(k_S + bsk_{MS})$$
(A10)

$$P_3 = 4bsk_Dk_Sk_{MS}.\tag{A11}$$

According to Vieta's theorem,  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  may be expressed by the roots  $\lambda_i$ :

$$P_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \tag{A12}$$

$$P_2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \tag{A13}$$

$$P_3 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \tag{A14}$$

[compare Eqs. (14)-(16)].

With the initial conditions  $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 0$ ,  $y_3(0) = u_0 = V_m^0 F/RT$ , one finds from Eqs. (A 5) and (A 6):

$$-\left(\frac{dy_{3}}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = 2 b s k_{MS} u_{0} = \lambda_{1} B_{31} + \lambda_{2} B_{32} + \lambda_{3} B_{33}.$$
(A15)

As  $B_{3j}/u_0 = a_j$  (j = 1, 2, 3) [Eq. (12)], one obtains

$$P_4 = a_1 \lambda_1 + a_2 \lambda_2 + a_3 \lambda_3 = 2b \, s \, k_{MS} \tag{A16}$$

[compare Eq. (17)]. Finally, an expression for  $P_5$  may be derived in the following way. In analogy to Eq. (A15) one obtains from the initial conditions:

$$-\left(\frac{dy_2}{dt}\right)_{t=0} = sk_{MS}u_0 = \lambda_1 B_{21} + \lambda_2 B_{22} + \lambda_3 B_{23}.$$
 (A17)

Furthermore, introduction of the solutions (A6) into  $dy_3/dt = A_{31}y_1 + A_{32}y_2 + A_{33}y_3$  yields the following relations:

$$B_{2j} = B_{3j} \frac{\lambda_j - 2bsk_{MS}}{4bk_{MS}} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3).$$
(A18)

Multiplication of both sides with  $\lambda_j$  and summation over j gives, according to Eqs. (A15) and (A17):

$$4bsk_{MS}^{2}(bs+1) = a_{1}\lambda_{1}^{2} + a_{2}\lambda_{2}^{2} + a_{3}\lambda_{3}^{2} = P_{5}$$
 (A19)

[compare Eq. (18)]. The relations (19)–(23) are then obtained by consecutive elimination of  $k_{MS}$ ,  $k_D$ ,  $k_S$ ,  $k_R$ , and  $N_0$  from Eqs. (A12)–(A14), (A16), and (A19).

## Appendix B

#### Derivation of Eqs. (25)–(29)

If the rates of association and dissociation of the complex are much larger than the translocation rates, then during the whole relaxation process the relation

$$\frac{N'_{MS}}{N'_{S}} = \frac{N''_{MS}}{N''_{S}} = \frac{c_{M}k_{R}}{k_{D}} = p$$
(B1)

holds. Introducing new variables

$$v = \frac{N'_{S} + N'_{MS}}{N_{0}} - \frac{1}{2},$$
  
$$z = 2b N_{0} \frac{pk_{MS}}{p+1} t,$$

Eqs. (4)-(11) reduce to

$$\frac{du}{dz} = -u - 4v \tag{B2}$$

$$\frac{dv}{dz} = -\frac{1}{4bN_0} u - \frac{k_s + p k_{MS}}{bN_0 p k_{MS}} v.$$
 (B3)

The functions u(z) and v(z) have to fulfill the boundary conditions

$$u(0) = \frac{V_m^0 F}{RT}, \quad u(\infty) = 0$$
$$v(0) = v(\infty) = 0.$$

The solution of Eqs. (B2) and (B3) which is obtained by standard methods may be written in the form  $(V_m = uRT/F)$ :

$$V_m(t) = V_m^0(a_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} + a_3 e^{-\lambda_3 t})$$
(B4)

where  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  are given by Eqs. (25–(29).

#### References

- Benz, R., Fröhlich, O., Läuger, P., Montal, M. 1975. Electrical capacity of black lipid films and of lipid bilayers made from monolayers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 394:323
- Benz, R., Stark, G. 1975. Kinetics of macro-tetrolide-induced ion transport across lipid bilayer membranes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 382:27
- Benz, R., Stark, G., Janko, K., Läuger, P. 1973. Valinomycin-mediated ion transport through neutral lipid membranes: Influence of hydrocarbon chain length and temperature. J. Membrane Biol. 14:339
- Borisova, M. P., Ermishkin, L. N., Liberman, E. A., Silberstein, A. Y., Trofimov, E. M. 1974. Mechanism of conductivity of bimolecular lipid membranes in the presence of tetrachlorotrifluoromethylbenzimidazole. J. Membrane Biol. 18:243
- Delahay, P. 1962. Coulostatic method for kinetic study of fast electrode processes. I. Theory. J. Phys. Chem. 66:2204
- Eisenman, G., Krasne, S., Ciani, S. 1975. The kinetic and equilibrium components of selective ionic permeability mediated by nactin- and valinomycin-type carriers having systematically varied degrees of methylation. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. (in press)*
- Feldberg, S.W., Kissel, G. 1975. Charge pulse studies of transport phenomena in bilayer membranes. I. Steady-state measurements of actin- and valinomycin-mediated transport in glycerol monooleate bilayers. J. Membrane Biol. 20:269

190

- Gambale, F., Gliozzi, A., Robello, M. 1973. Determination of rate constants in carriermediated diffusion through lipid bilayers. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 330:325
- Gavach, C., Sandeaux, R., 1975. Non-mediated zero-voltage conductance of hydrophobic ions through bilayer lipid membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **413**:33
- Hall, J.E., Mead, C.A., Szabo, G. 1973. A barrier model for current flow in lipid bilayer membranes. J. Membrane Biol. 11:75
- Hladky, S.B. 1975 a. Steady-state ion transport by nonactin and trinactin. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 375:350
- Hladky, S.B. 1975b. Tests of the carrier model for ion transport by nonactin and trinactin. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 375:327
- Hodgkin, A.L., Huxley, A.F., Katz, B. 1952. Measurement of current-voltage relations in the membrane of the giant axon of *Loligo. J. Physiol.* **116**:424
- Knoll, W., Stark, G. 1975. An extended kinetic analysis of valinomycin-induced Rb-transport through monoglyceride membranes. J. Membrane Biol. 25:249
- Kudirka, J.M., Daum, P.H., Enke, C.G. 1972. Comparison of coulostatic data analysis techniques. Anal. Chem. 44:309
- Laprade, R., Ciani, S. M., Eisenman, G., Szabo, G. 1975. The kinetics of carrier-mediated ion permeation in lipid bilayers and its theoretical interpretation. *In:* Membranes A Series of Advances. Vol. 3, p. 127. G. Eisenman, editor. Marcel Dekker, New York
- Läuger, P., Lesslauer, W., Marti, E., Richter, J. 1967. Electrical properties of bimolecular phospholipid membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 135:20
- Läuger, P., Stark, G. 1970. Kinetics of carrier-mediated ion transport across lipid bilayer membranes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 211:458
- Reinmuth, W. H., Wilson, C. E. 1962. An impulse (coulostatic) relaxation method for the study of rapid electrode processes. *Anal. Chem.* **34**:1159
- Sandblom, J., Hägglund, J., Eriksson, N.-E. 1975. Electrical relaxation process in black lipid membranes in the presence of a cation-selective ionophore. J. Membrane Biol. 23:1
- Stark, G., Benz, R. 1971. The transport of potassium through lipid bilayer membranes by the neutral carriers valinomycin and monactin. J. Membrane Biol. 5:133
- Stark, G., Benz, R., Ketterer, B., Läuger, P. 1971. The rate constants of valinomycin-mediated ion transport through thin lipid membranes. *Biophys. J.* 11:981
- Weir, W.D., Enke, C.G. 1967. The current-impulse relaxation technique and the kinetics of rapid electro-chemical reactions. I. General considerations. J. Phys. Chem. 71:275